Joint Permit Application

This is a joint application, and must be sent to both agencies, who administer separate permit programs. Alternative forms of permit applications may be acceptable; contact the Corps and DSL for more information.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Portland District	Oregon Department of State Lands
Corps Action ID Number	DSL Number

(1) APPLICANT AND LANDOWNER CONTACT INFORMATION

	Applicant	Property Owner (if different)	Authorized Agent (if applicable) X Consultant ☐ Contractor
Contact Name	Irene Bowers		Andrew Jansky
Business Name	Portland Development		Flowing Solutions
Mailing Address 1	Commission		3305 SW 87th
Mailing Address 2	222 NW Fifth Ave		
City, State, Zip	Portland, OR 97209-2959		Portland, OR 97225
Business Phone	503.823.3200		503.297.6311
Cell Phone			
Fax			503.297.6053
Email	Bowersl@pdc.us		Andrew@flowingsolutions.com

(2) PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Provide the project location.

Project Name	Tax Lot #	Latitude & Longitude*
Centennial Mills – Selective Bldg Removal	100	45.534039, -122.679891
Project Address / Location	**City (nearest)**	**County**
1362 NW Naito Parkway, Portland	Portland	Multnomah

Township	Range	Section	Quarter/Quarter
1N	1E	34	NW1/4

Brief Directions to the Site
North on Naito Parkway from downtown Portland, site is located on the right, south of Freemont Bridge

B. What types of waterbodies or wetlands are present in your project area? (Check all that apply.)

X River/Stream	☐ Non-Tidal Wetland	☐ Lake / Reservoir / Pond
☐ Estuary or Tidal Wetland	☐ Other	☐ Pacific Ocean

Waterbody or Wetland Name**	River Mile	6th Field HUC Name	6th Field HUC (12 digits)
Willamette River	11.3		170900120202

C. Indicate the project category. (Check all that apply.)

☐ Commercial Development	☐ Industrial Development	☐ Residential Development
☐ Institutional Development	☐ Agricultural	☐ Recreational
☐ Transportation	☐ Restoration	☐ Bank Stabilization
☐ Dredging	☐ Utility lines	☐ Survey or Sampling
X Over-Water Structure	_ Maintenance	☐ Other:

* In decimal format (e.g., 44.9399, -123.0283)

(2) PROJECT INFORMATION

** If there is no official name for the wetland or waterway, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A").

(3) PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

Provide a statement of the purpose and need for the overall project.

This project includes removal of the 44,730 square feet of concrete & wood deck and other horizontal supporting members over the water and removal of three existing wing walls below the deck surface. The project will not include dredging, bank work, driven pile removal or other beach or sediment disturbance, except for removal of small amounts of building material that may fall back on the riverbank during the demolition process.

The City of Portland Bureau of Development Services has issued to PDC a Dangerous Structure and Order to Demolish or Repair Case #14-251340-DB, which in part, noted the significantly deteriorated portion of the support pilings for the wharf. During Phase 1 building removal, it revealed the much degraded condition of the substructure.. Significant effort would be required to stabilize any portion of the remaining deck. It would require considerable in-water work, new driven piles and new pier support structure, without a clear future development plan at this point in time. By proceeding immediately with Phase 2 work, these risks can be avoided.

The Phase 2 work scope has been designed to address the City Dangerous Structure Repair or Removal Order to minimize public and environmental risk and allow sufficient time for future redevelopment plans to be resolved. As part of future redevelopment, a final comprehensive solution for additional in-water work will be developed and prepared. Phase 2 project benefits also include removal of overwater coverage, as well as removal of existing large concrete deleterious materials on the beach surface.

(4) DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCES IN PROJECT AREA

A. Describe the existing physical and biological characteristics of each wetland or waterway. Reference the wetland and waters delineation report if one is available. Include the list of items provided in the instructions.

The shoreline at the project site is composed of structure pier, rip-rap and unclassified fill placed over the years. The primary navigation channel is near the middle of the river. The project proposes net removal of material from the 100 year flood plain.

Ordinary High Water Definition

Ordinary high water is shown based on the COE of engineer's numerical value for this river mile. Much of the site is below the structure and portions of OHW are along the seawall under the site.

Type and condition of riparian vegetation

Riparian edge is rip-rap and a majority of the vegetation is invasive. No vegetation exists under the pier.

Channel morphology (i.e., channel structure and shape)

The river is highly channelized at the site. The project is located along a straight section of the river. The deepest portion of the river is located along the primary navigation channel near the middle of the

(4) DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCES IN PROJECT AREA

river. The current during low flow is moderate at the site. Some deposition has occurred along the site over the years due to lack of dredging. A large outfall exists just upstream of the structure, the Tanner Creek Outfall does have flow, but a majority of the flow has been intercepted by the CSO project.

Fish and wildlife, Functional Attributes (type, abundance, period of use, significance of site)

Fish may be abundant in the area seasonally including transitory salmonids, predatory bass and other warm water species. Sturgeon may also be in the area. As this site was historically filled along with much of the development landward, limited historic subsurface and hydrologic connections to the west hills remain.

Stream substrate

The river bottom is generally composed of sediment, small cobble and gravel in the location due to the highly channelized nature. Sandy gravel exists in some locations but can change seasonally. Some gravel and broken debris exists under the pier.

General hydrological conditions (e.g. stream flow, seasonal fluctuations)

Willamette River flows vary seasonally based on rainfall and snowpack. Highest flows are typically in the spring and lowest during fall. Seasonal flow spikes are also possible in winter and depend on rainfall and snow levels. River elevations can rise rapidly during "rain-on-snow events". The river level is partially controlled by upstream dams installed to minimize valley flooding. These dams are also operated to supplement summer low flows.

A full biological opinion has been prepared for this project including description of impact minimization efforts included as related to ESA listed species.

B. Describe the existing navigation, fishing and recreational use of the waterway or wetland.

Significant navigation, fishing and recreational activity occur near this site. Primary commercial navigation channel is in the middle of the river to access the upstream bridge lift. Some commercial vessels use this part of the river for turning and navigation. The project will not impact any of these uses.

Sediment Testing did occur July 2006. When work is proposed that may significantly disturb sediment updated sampling would be coordinated with PSET for approval. After approval is received updated sampling would occur.

(5) PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Describe project-specific criteria necessary to achieve the project purpose. Describe alternative sites and project designs that were considered to avoid or minimize impacts to the waterway or wetland.

(5) PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Three alternatives were evaluated to identify the least environmentally damaging and practical alternative, using the following project specific criteria:

1. Improve public safety
2. Limit degradation to the riverine environment
3. Proper financial stewardship of public dollars

Three alternatives considered for this phase of work include:

A. Do Nothing
B. Interim Repairs
C. Selected Controlled Demolition

A. Do Nothing: This alternative would allow the deck structure to remain until redevelopment plans are prepared. As building demolition has proceed, it is clear this option would not meet criteria 1 and 2 due to the advanced degradation on the foundation. Providing security to keep people out of the area below the deck would prove impractical, creating a significant safety hazard. The building structure also was critical to overall building stability. The remaining deck is of questionable stability to remain without additional bracing and repairs.

B. Interim Repairs: This alternative would include strategic repair of the foundation below the remaining deck surface. This alternative would preserve the existing deck, and address safety concerns. It would also address potential degradation of the riverine environment; however the cost would exceed available budget and given the poor condition would likely cost significantly more than removal of the deck. The deck would not be habitatable or useable in the future without significant structural and safety upgrades. Interim repair work may not meet redevelopment goals, thus this alternative does not meet criteria 3.

C. Selected Controlled Demolition: Removal of the deck structure in advance of future development provides immediate environmental benefit while allowing sufficient time to develop a preferred option for redevelopment that can meet various project objective and program goals. The current deck coverage of 44,730 square feet currently covers the shoreline from the existing seawall over 125 ft riverward. (17,460ft x 75ft beyond OHW) Removal of the deck will prevent public access on a hazardous structure and increase access to this shallow water area by fish and other species when complete. To comply with City Greenway requirements, future phases of work may reinstall a smaller overwater coverage foot print and integrate modern impact minimization methods, creating an overall net benefit from this full project, upon completion of all phases.

(6) PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Briefly summarize the overall project including work in areas both in and outside of waters or wetlands.
Phase 2 will include removal of the concrete & wood basement deck and concrete & wood support structures (columns) which remain after Phase 1 building demolition authorized under COE Permit NWP 2010-540-1 (all structures removed above the wharf deck). . Approximately 17,460 sf of the structure is located beyond OLW line over water (DSL Leased Area)

The applicant proposes to implement Phase 2 of the project. Phase 2 entails the removal of the 44,730 square foot deck associated with the remaining overwater structure, which precludes any light from reaching the riverbed and bank below. The deck covers 410 linear feet of river bed, and extends approximately 75 feet into the river from Ordinary High Water mark.

The remaining overwater structure has two distinct construction types: the downstream 3/4 of the wharf consists of a concrete deck with approximately 750 concrete columns and concrete grid supported on driven wood piles; and, the upstream 1/4 of the wharf consists of wood deck, with square wood columns and wood cross bracing supported on driven piles. Removal techniques for each type of construction will vary and be adapted to the specific conditions in response to safety and physical constraints. Removal of the deck for both types of construction will generally occur using a combination of land and barge based equipment.

During low water conditions, the majority of the work area, approximately, 17,460 sf (70%) is 'dry' and subject to daily tidal fluctuations. Only 30% of the work area will occur over the river during low water conditions.

Access below the deck is severely limited by the existing pile density (8-10 ft on-center), poor existing pile conditions, and existing concrete wing walls that extend from inner sea-wall to the river at the center and each end of the site. These conditions preclude installation of a suspended catchment system and create an unacceptable risk for workers who would be below the existing deck to deploy or manage BMP's under the unstable wharf structure.

Removal of the deck would be accomplished by one excavator from land and one excavator from the barge along with other smaller equipment. The wharf would be removed from the riverside, south to north in a systematic fashion to minimize catastrophic collapse and impacts to the waterway. Concrete grid support structure would be removed to grade with adjacent seawall with no excavation planned. All material would be removed, contained and handled on land or on a contained tender barge.

The materials to be removed during this phase principally include cured concrete, untreated wood, treated wood, steel, rebar, asphaltic concrete deck topping overlain wood decking and incidental materials typically found in wharf construction. The small area of asphaltic concrete deck topping will be removed before the wood decking and structure demolition starts - with BMP's for containment, management and proper disposal. Treated wood, to the extent practicable, will be removed intact in large pieces with BMP's to minimize any entry into the river. All other clean concrete, untreated wood and misc. materials will be managed per BMP's outlined in Part C.

B. Describe work within waters and wetlands.

(6) PROJECT DESCRIPTION

No work is proposed within waters and wetlands, other than retrieval of material that may fall on the shore/into the shallow water during demolition work. Floating material will be removed from within the floating sediment/debris boom, and concrete will be removed per description following in section C below.

After work is completed with Phase 2, only the existing structures buried beneath the beach and wood pilings which are driven into the riverbed will remain. All concrete pile caps and steel set pins will be removed, as well as concrete wing walls. The existing sea-wall deep within the site will also remain and not be disturbed. This project does not propose any sediment disturbance, other than potential disturbance during retrieval of a limited amount of concrete that may fall during work activity.

C. Construction Methods. Describe how the removal and/or fill activities will be accomplished to minimize impacts to waters and wetlands.

BMP Measures implemented to limit impacts to the river environment would include deployment of a debris boom and sediment boom

- Larger (approximately 2x2ft) concrete debris would be removed by bucket using bucket thumb method of handling to minimize sediment disposal. To the extent practicable, large pieces (greater than 2x2ft) of concrete will be promptly removed from the work area.
- Smaller concrete debris would be removed by hand and small track equipment on dry beach area at end of demolition during low water conditions and after overhead hazards are controlled.
- The exposed shoreline will be cleaned of deleterious materials larger than 6" diameter prior to completion.
- During demolition work, some small materials may fall from the elevated deck into the water below. This material would likely consist of existing concrete, wood and steel as the structure fractures. The material will be retrieved where possible, using the multi-articulating excavator mounted grippers. If the concrete is visible below the water surface, it will be removed, if this can be accomplished without compromising worker safety.
- Observations of the river will occur at least twice a day to observe any debris or visible turbidity sediment releases. Observations shall include one mid-morning and one mid-afternoon and results logged on a standard form. Turbidity shall be monitored upstream and downstream, evaluate best management practices if visual difference occurs.
- Upon completion, or at critical times, a diver will be sent below the surface to review the riverbed for signs of additional deleterious materials that may include steel or concrete that may have fallen unobserved in the river during demolition. A divers report will be prepared and used to determine scope and extent and to determine what further action may be necessary.
- As recommended by NMFS, all in-water work will be completed during the summer in-water work window (July 1 to October 31) when the fewest listed species may be present.
- All equipment will be selected to minimize adverse effects to the environment. Cleaning will be repeated as often as necessary during operation to keep all equipment free of external fluids and grease, and to prevent a leak or spill from entering the water.
- Excavators will be located on the deck and on barge. They are new and well maintained, significantly reducing any risks of leaks. However, a spill kit will be on site with staff trained in its proper use.
- To minimize potential turbidity increases, a sediment curtain will be deployed around the structure. Due to the density of pilings and the inability to get an electrofishing boat under the structure, fish salvage will not be undertaken.
- To minimize downstream movement of any wood material that may fall into the water during deck

(6) PROJECT DESCRIPTION

removal, a floating boom will be deployed around the structure. At least daily or more often if required, a work boat will remove and properly dispose of any visible floating debris contained within the sediment/debris boom.

- To minimize the potential for dust generated during demolition of the buildings from entering the water, water misting will be deployed during demolition and material handling. Standard stormwater control methods will be employed to ensure water generated during misting is minimized. Any ponded water will be captured and treated.

D. Describe source of fill material and disposal locations if known.

No fill will occur. Disposal will occur at registered transfer station, or recycling center.

(6) PROJECT DESCRIPTION

E. Construction timeline.

What is the estimated project start date?

July 1, 2016

What is the estimated project completion date?

November 31, 2017

Is any of the work underway or already complete? X Yes

If yes, describe.

The building structures have been removed under permit NWP-2010-540-1, only the pier structure deck remains.

F. Fill Volumes and Dimensions (if more than 4 impact sites, include a summary table as an attachment)

Wetland / Waterbody Name *	Fill Dimensions					Duration of Impact**	Material***
	Length (ft.)	Width (ft.)	Depth (ft.)	Area (sq.ft. or ac.)	Volume (c.y.)		
Willamette					0	0	N/A

G. Total Fill Volumes and Dimensions

Fill Impacts to Waters	Length (ft.)	Area (sq. ft or ac.)	Volume (c.y.)
Total Fill to Wetlands			
Total Fill Below Ordinary High Water	0	0	0
Total Fill Below Highest Measured Tide			
Total Fill Below High Tide Line			
Total Fill Below Mean High Water Tidal Elevation			

H. Removal Volumes and Dimensions (if more than 4 impact sites, include a summary table as an attachment)

Wetland / Waterbody Name*	Removal Dimensions					Duration of Impact**	Material***
	Length (ft.)	Width (ft.)	Depth (ft.)	Area (sq. ft. or ac.)	Volume (c.y.)		
Willamette	410	75		17,460	1000	Perm.	Concrete, Wood
TOTAL DECK AREA	410	125		44,730			Total Deck Area

I. Total Removal Volumes and Dimensions

Removal Impacts to Waters	Length (ft.)	Area (sq. ft or ac.)	Volume (c.y.)
Total Removal to Wetlands			
Total Removal Below Ordinary High Water	410	17,460	1000
Total Removal Below Highest Measured Tide			
Total Removal Below High Tide Line			
Total Removal Below Mean High Water Tidal Elevation			

* If there is no official name for the wetland or waterway, create a unique name (such as "Wetland 1" or "Tributary A").
** Indicate the days, months or years the fill or removal will remain. Enter "permanent" if applicable. For DSL, permanent removal or fill is defined as being in place for 24 months or longer.
*** Example: soil, gravel, wood, concrete, pilings, rock etc.

(7) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Are there any state or federally listed species on the project site?	X Yes		☐ Unknown
Is the project site within designated or proposed critical habitat?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown
Is the project site within a national Wild and Scenic River?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown
Is the project site within the 100-year floodplain?	X Yes	No	☐ Unknown

*** If yes to any of the above, explain in Block 4 and describe measures to minimize adverse effects to these resources in Block 5.**

Is the project site within the Territorial Sea Plan (TSP) Area?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown

*** If yes, attach TSP review as a separate document for DSL.**

Is the project site within a designated Marine Reserve?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown

*** If yes, certain additional DSL restrictions will apply.**

Will the overall project involve construction dewatering or ground disturbance of one acre or more?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown

*** If yes, you may need a 1200-C permit from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).**

Is the fill or dredged material a carrier of contaminants from on-site or off- site spills?	☐ Yes	X No	☐ Unknown

(7) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Has the fill or dredged material been physically and/or chemically tested? X Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

***If yes, explain in Block 4 and provide references to any physical/chemical testing report(s).**

Has a cultural resource (archaeological) survey been performed on the project area? ☐ Yes No ☐ Unknown

*** If yes, provide a copy of the survey with this application. Do not describe any resources in this document.**

MOU between USACOE, OSHPO and PDC regarding building removal as part of Phase 1

Identify any other federal agency that is funding, authorizing or implementing the project.

Agency Name	Contact Name	Phone Number	Most Recent Date of Contact

List other certificates or approvals/denials required or received from other federal, state or local agencies for work described in this application. For example, certain activities that require a Corps permit also require 401 Water Quality Certification from Oregon DEQ.

Approving Agency	Certificate/ approval / denial description	Date Applied

Other DSL and/or Corps Actions Associated with this Site (Check all that apply.)

☐ Work proposed on or over lands owned by or leased from the Corps

X State owned waterway — DSL Waterway Lease # ML-8021

☐ Other Corps or DSL Permits — Corps # 2010-540-1 — DSL # 57425-NP

☐ Violation for Unauthorized Activity — Corps # — DSL #

☐ Wetland and Waters Delineation — Corps # — DSL #

- ☐ A wetland / waters delineation has been completed (if so, provide a copy with the application)
- ☐ The Corps has approved the wetland / waters delineation within the last 5 years
- ☐ DSL has approved the wetland / waters delineation within the last 5 years

(8) IMPACTS, RESTORATION/REHABILITATION, COMPENSATORY MITIGATION

A. Describe unavoidable environmental impacts that are likely to result from the proposed project. Include permanent, temporary, direct, and indirect impacts.

This project will not have unavoidable long term environmental impacts associated with the work. This project will remove 44,730 square feet of covered structure from the river, decreasing shading and significantly improve river functions. BMP's will be implemented to control migration of material off site.

No active work will occur in the water, other than deploying debris boom at start of work window and removal of curtain at the end. Some pieces of concrete/wood may fall into the water and be retrieved. This shall be minimized, per description of work provided in Block 6.

B. For temporary removal or fill or disturbance of vegetation in waterways, wetlands or riparian (i.e., streamside) areas, discuss how the site will be restored after construction.

(8) IMPACTS, RESTORATION/REHABILITATION, COMPENSATORY MITIGATION

No vegetation exists under the existing structure. The shoreline will be cleared of deleterious materials. No other restoration will occur.

Compensatory Mitigation

C. Proposed mitigation approach. Check all that apply:

☐ Permittee-responsible Onsite Mitigation	☐ Permittee-responsible Offsite mitigation	☐ Mitigation Bank or in-lieu fee program	☐ Payment to Provide (not approved for use with Corps permits)

D. Provide a brief description of mitigation approach and the rationale for choosing that approach. If you believe mitigation should not be required, explain why.

Removal of the structure provides a significant improvement of conditions over existing conditions and is done in advance of future redevelopment. Removal of the existing columns and 44,730 square feet of solid decking will provide light access to the shallow water and beach area. Three existing concrete wing walls will also be removed which will provide a more continuous migration corridor along shallow water.

Mitigation Bank / In-Lieu Fee Information:

Name of mitigation bank or in-lieu fee project:

Type of credits to be purchased:

If you are proposing permittee-responsible mitigation, have you prepared a compensatory mitigation plan?

☐ Yes. Submit the plan with this application and complete the remainder of this section.

☐ No. A mitigation plan will need to be submitted (for DSL, this plan is required for a complete application).

Mitigation Location Information (Fill out only if permittee-responsible mitigation is proposed)

Mitigation Site Name/Legal Description	Mitigation Site Address	Tax Lot #
County	City	Latitude & Longitude (in DD.DDDD format)

Township	Range	Section	Quarter/Quarter

(9) ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS FOR PROJECT AND MITIGATION SITE		
☐ Pre-printed mailing labels of adjacent property owners attached	**Project Site Adjacent Property Owners**	**Mitigation Site Adjacent Property Owners**

Waterfront Pear Condominium
c/o Pemcor Investment
Apt 200 1111 Hastings St W
Vancouver, BC V6E 2J3 CA

Summit Properties
4380 SW Macadam Ave
Suite #330
Portland, OR 97239

Encore Condominiums
949 NW Overton St
Portland, OR 97209

(10) CITY/COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT LAND USE AFFIDAVIT (TO BE COMPLETED BY LOCAL PLANNING OFFICIAL)

I have reviewed the project described in this application and have determined that:

- ☐ This project is not regulated by the comprehensive plan and land use regulations.
- ☐ This project is consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations.
- ☐ This project will be consistent with the comprehensive plan and land use regulations when the following local approval(s) are obtained:
  - ☐ Conditional Use Approval
  - ☐ Development Permit
  - ☐ Other Permit (see comment section)
- ☐ This project is not consistent with the comprehensive plan. Consistency requires:
  - ☐ Plan Amendment
  - ☐ Zone Change
  - ☐ Other Approval or Review (see comment section)

An application ☐ has ☐ has not been filed for local approvals checked above.

Local planning official name (print)	Title	City / County (circle one)

Signature	Date

Comments:

(11) COASTAL ZONE CERTIFICATION

If the proposed activity described in your permit application is within the Oregon coastal zone, the following certification is required before your application can be processed. A public notice will be issued with the certification statement, which will be forwarded to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) for its concurrence or objection. For additional information on the Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program, contact DLCD at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301 or call 503-373-0050.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the proposed activity described in this application complies with the approved Oregon Coastal Zone Management Program and will be completed in a manner consistent with the program.

Print /Type Name	Title

Signature	Date

(12) SIGNATURES

Application is hereby made for the activities described herein. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities. By signing this application I consent to allow Corps or DSL staff to enter into the above-described property to inspect the project location and to determine compliance with an authorization, if granted. I hereby authorize the person identified in the authorized agent block below to act in my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish supplemental information in support of this permit application. I understand that the granting of other permits by local, county, state or federal agencies does not release me from the requirement of obtaining the permits requested before commencing the project. I understand that payment of the required state processing fee does not guarantee permit issuance.
To be considered complete, the fee must accompany the application to DSL. The fee is not required for submittal of an application to the Corps.

Fee Amount Enclosed	$

Applicant Signature

Print Name Irene Bowers	Title Sr. Project Manager, Portland Development Commission
Signature Irene Bowers	Date 2-23-2016

Authorized Agent Signature

Print Name Andrew Jansky	Title Principal
Signature Andrew Jansky	Date 2-23-2016

Landowner Signature(s)

Landowner of the Project Site (if different from applicant)

Print Name	Title
Signature	Date

Landowner of the Mitigation Site (if different from applicant)

Print Name	Title
Signature	Date

Department of State Lands, Property Manager (to be completed by DSL)

If the project is located on state-owned submerged and submersible lands, DSL staff will obtain a signature from the Land Management Division of DSL. A signature by DSL for activities proposed on state-owned submerged/submersible lands only grants the applicant consent to apply for a removal-fill permit. A signature for activities on state-owned submerged and submersible lands grants no other authority, express or implied and a separate proprietary authorization may be required.

Print Name	Title
Signature	Date

(13) ATTACHMENTS

- ☐ **Drawings (items in bold are required)**
  - ☐ **Location map with roads identified**
  - ☐ **U.S.G.S topographic map**
  - ☐ **Tax lot map**
  - ☐ **Site plan(s)**
  - ☐ **Cross section drawing(s)**
  - ☐ **Recent aerial photo**
  - ☐ Project photos
  - ☐ Erosion and Pollution Control Plan(s), if applicable
  - ☐ DSL/Corps Wetland Concurrence letter and map, if approved and applicable
- ☐ Pre-printed labels for adjacent property owners (Required if more than 5)
- ☐ Restoration plan or rehabilitation plan for temporary impacts
- ☐ Mitigation plan
- ☐ Wetland functional assessment and/or stream functional assessment
- ☐ Alternatives analysis
- ☐ Biological assessment (if requested by Corps project manager during pre-application coordination.)
- ☐ Stormwater management plan (may be required by the Corps or DEQ)
- ☐ Other:
  - ☐
  - ☐

Send Completed form to:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CENWP-OD-GP
PO Box 2946
Portland, OR 97208-2946
Phone: 503-808-4373

Counties:
Baker, Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Gilliam, Grant, Hood River, Jefferson, Lincoln, Malheur, Marion, Morrow, Multnomah, Polk, Sherman, Tillamook, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, Wasco, Washington, Wheeler, Yamhill

OR

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CENWP-OD-GE
211 E. 7th AVE, Suite 105
Eugene, OR 97401-2722
Phone: 541-465-6868

Counties:
Benton, Coos, Crook, Curry, Deschutes, Douglas Jackson, Josephine, Harney, Klamath, Lake, Lane, Linn

Send Completed form to:

DSL - West of the Cascades:

Department of State Lands
775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100
Salem, OR 97301-1279
Phone: 503-986-5200

OR

DSL - East of the Cascades:

Department of State Lands
1645 NE Forbes Road, Suite 112
Bend, Oregon 97701
Phone: 541-388-6112

Send all Fees to:
Department of State Lands
775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100
Salem, OR 97301-1279
Pay by Credit Card by Calling 503-986-5253